Interview Summary	10/675,136	KUWATA ET AL.
Interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Myles D. Robinson	2625
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) Myles D. Robinson.	(3) Susan Mizer	
(2) Tryler Haskins Date of Interview: 3/4/2008	(4)	
Date of Interview: 3/4/2008		
	applicant's representative	:]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) No.	
Claim(s) discussed:		
Identification of prior art discussed: Flowers (US 5,533,174)		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See below		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.		
The Applicant clarified the inventive concept and explained how proposed amendments should overcome the prior art. The Examiner		
how proposed amendments should overcome the prior art. The Examiner		
will give proposed amendments further consideration and search.		
J. Stak		
TWYLER L. HASKINS		
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER	Alles Roll	
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's signature, if requi	red

Application No.

Applicant(s)